# **MODS Productivity Data**

#### I. PREFACE

## A Purpose and Content

USPS-FY19-23 provides FY2019 productivity data for selected operations at plants, Network Distribution Centers (NDCs, formerly Bulk Mail Centers or BMCs), and Remote Encoding Centers (RECs).

#### **B. Predecessor Documents**

The most recent predecessor document was USPS-FY18-23 in Docket No. ACR2018.

## C. Corresponding Non-Public Document

There is no corresponding non-public document.

## D. Methodology

The FY2019 productivity calculations use the same methodology as USPS-FY18-23. The productivities for plant and NDC operations employ data from the Management Operating Data System (MODS). The calculations follow the general approach from Docket No. R2006-1, USPS-LR-L-56, Part III, as modified in Docket No. RM2012-2, Proposals Sixteen and Seventeen (approved in Commission Order No. 1383) and Docket No. RM2014-1, Proposal Eight (approved in part in Commission Order No. 1877).

USPS-FY19-23 reports FY2019 productivity statistics for MODS manual letter and manual flat operations computed by the Commission's approved method. However, as noted in the Postal Service's response to Docket No. RM2018-1, Commission Information Request No. 1, PP3-1(a), filed December 4, 2017, the methodology for determining manual letter and flat workloads changed in FY2016. The Postal Service eliminated facility-specific physical surveys to determine flow percentages from automation to manual operations for the imputation of manual workloads. A Lean Six Sigma effort replaced the facility-specific factors with national factors derived from allowances in the Mail Processing Variance (MPV) system as of the start of FY2016. The MPV-based allowances represented maximum fractions of automated workload for which facilities could receive credit rather than actual fractions from which unbiased estimates of actual workloads could be derived, at least at the national level.

In FY2016, FY2017, FY2018, and FY2019, measured manual flat productivities decreased significantly compared to prior years, implying that the national factors

underestimate flat workloads relative to the site-specific factors, while manual letter productivities increased overall. No operational factors account for such changes, and several manual letter and flat productivities have implausibly high or low levels since the change of methodology. Thus, the MODS conversion change unfortunately has made the affected manual letter and manual flat productivities sufficiently unreliable since the beginning of FY2016 that the Postal Service cannot recommend their use over the previous FY2015 data. The FY2015 manual letter and flat productivities employed in downstream folders are shown in the table below. While the Postal Service has studied potential alternative sources for manual distribution productivities, it has not yet identified reliable replacements for the affected MODS data.

FY2015 Manual Letter and Flat Productivities

Group	<u>Description</u>	<u>Shape</u>	TPF/Hour	TPH/TPF
14	Manual Out Primary	Letters	614	1.000
15	Manual Out Secondary	Letters	1,058	1.000
16	Manual In MMP	Letters	1,180	1.000
17	Manual In SCF/Primary	Letters	1,076	1.000
18	Manual In Secondary	Letters	792	1.000
57	Manual Out Primary	Flats	600	1.000
58	Manual Out Secondary	Flats	475	1.000
59	Manual In MMP	Flats	671	1.000
60	Manual In SCF	Flats	581	1.000
61	Manual In Primary	Flats	729	1.000
62	Manual In Secondary	Flats	241	1.000

Source: Docket No. ACR2015, USPS-FY15-23, YRscrub2015.xlsx

The Postal Service notes that productivities for mechanized and automated operations, and for manual parcel operations, are unaffected by the MODS manual letter and flat survey change. Automated and mechanized operations' workloads are derived from direct piece counts tabulated by the processing equipment and reported via webEOR. MODS manual parcel operations derive workload from piece counts based on mail processing scans.

Productivities for Remote Encoding Center (REC) operations are based on image volumes and console hours from the WebROADS system, adjusted for "overhead" workhours included in the MODS workhour total.

#### E. Input/Output

The productivity data are used in USPS-FY19-10, USPS-FY19-11, and USPS-FY19-15. Additionally, the console hours used to develop the REC productivities are used in USPS-FY19-7 (and USPS-FY19-NP18) to assign LDC 15 REC labor costs to cost pools.

# **II. ORGANIZATION**

The productivity data are presented in the Microsoft Office Excel workbooks 'YRscrub2019.xlsx', 'NDCscrub2019.xlsx', 'RECProds2019.xlsx'.

**Table 1. FY2019 MODS Productivities for Selected Plant Operations** 

Group	<u>Description</u>	<u>Shape</u>	TPF/Hour	TPH/TPF
4	LCREM	Letters	2,170	1.000
5	Tray Sortation Outgoing	Letters	80	0.835
6	Tray Sortation Incoming	Letters	78	0.878
7	Out BCS Primary	Letters	7,877	0.967
8	Out BCS Secondary	Letters	7,280	0.968
9	In BCS MMP	Letters	5,902	0.974
10	In BCS SCF/Primary	Letters	7,470	0.969
11	In BCS Secondary (1 Pass)	Letters	13,041	0.969
12	In BCS Secondary (2 Pass)	Letters	7,750	0.989
14	Manual Out Primary*	Letters	250	1.000
15	Manual Out Secondary*	Letters	610	1.000
16	Manual In MMP*	Letters	3,324	1.000
17	Manual In SCF/Primary*	Letters	2,196	1.000
18	Manual In Secondary*	Letters	284	1.000
21	AFSM100 Out Primary	Flats	1,400	0.967
22	AFSM100 Out Secondary	Flats	1,946	0.966
23	AFSM100 In MMP	Flats	1,236	0.979
24	AFSM100 In SCF	Flats	1,375	0.981
25	AFSM100 In Primary	Flats	1,126	0.971
26	AFSM100 In Secondary	Flats	1,503	0.980
27	AFSM100 ATHS Out Primary	Flats	1,700	0.969
28	AFSM100 ATHS Out Secondary	Flats	2,574	0.966
29	AFSM100 ATHS In MMP	Flats	1,738	0.980
30	AFSM100 ATHS In SCF	Flats	1,738	0.981
31	AFSM100 ATHS In Primary	Flats	1,297	0.974
32	AFSM100 ATHS In Secondary	Flats	1,629	0.980
33	AFSM100 AI Out Primary	Flats	2,045	0.964
34	AFSM100 AI Out Secondary	Flats	1,286	0.972
35	AFSM100 AI In MMP	Flats	1,631	0.977
36	AFSM100 AI In SCF	Flats	2,162	0.976
37	AFSM100 AI In Primary	Flats	1,078	0.968
38	AFSM100 AI In Secondary	Flats	1,926	0.976
39	AFSM100 ATHS/Al Out Primary	Flats	2,116	0.960
40	AFSM100 ATHS/Al Out Secondary	Flats	3,228	0.969
41	AFSM100 ATHS/AI In MMP	Flats	2,777	0.974
42	AFSM100 ATHS/AI In SCF	Flats	2,641	0.973
43	AFSM100 ATHS/AI In Primary	Flats	3,110	0.963
44	AFSM100 ATHS/AI In Secondary	Flats	3,301	0.976
45	UFSM1000 Outgoing	Flats	0	0.000
46	UFSM1000 Incoming	Flats	1,038	0.910

57	Manual Out Primary*	Flats	197	1.000
58	Manual Out Secondary*	Flats	135	1.000
59	Manual In MMP*	Flats	555	1.000
60	Manual In SCF*	Flats	352	1.000
61	Manual In Primary*	Flats	122	1.000
62	Manual In Secondary*	Flats	158	1.000
63	Manual In	Parcels	113	0.989
64	APBS Outgoing	Bundles	281	0.894
65	APBS Incoming	Bundles	197	0.914
67	LIPS Incoming	Bundles	297	1.000
68	APPS Outgoing	Bundles	282	0.889
69	APPS Incoming	Bundles	244	0.886
70	Manual Outgoing	Parcels	104	0.996
75	PARS WASTE MAIL	Letters	4,788	1.000
76	PARS MANUAL DISTRIBUTION	Letters	361	1.000
77	CIOSS RTS IMAGE LIFT MODE	Letters	423	0.904
78	CIOSS INTERCEPT LABEL MODE	Letters	6,253	0.914
79	CIOSS FORWARDS IMAGE LIFT MODE	Letters	712	0.973
80	CIOSS REVERSE SIDE SCAN	Letters	6,714	0.899
81	CIOSS RESCAN MODE	Letters	3,868	0.974
82	CIOSS OTHER MODE	Letters	4,327	0.938
83	CIOSS INTERCEPT IMAGE LIFT MODE	Letters	7,639	0.980
84	CIOSS FORWARDS LABEL MODE	Letters	4,513	0.775
85	CIOSS RTS LABEL MODE	Letters	4,869	0.573
86	FSS	Flats	730	0.894

Source: USPS-FY19-23, YRscrub2019.xlsx

**Table 2. FY2019 MODS Productivities for Selected NDC Operation Groups** 

Group	Total TPF	Total TPH	Total Hours	TPF/Hour
PPSM	217,980,339	206,267,142	621,406	351
SPSM	834,180,189	775,299,871	2,636,672	316
SSM	17,490,545	15,912,442	185,704	94
NMO/Manual Parcels	30,743,343	30,743,343	568,284	54
Outgoing Pouching	15,381,840	15,381,840	54,927	280

Source: USPS-FY19-23, NDCscrub2019.xlsx

<sup>\*</sup> FY2019 data not recommended for use, see Methodology

**Table 3. FY2019 Remote Encoding Center Productivities** 

Product	Images Keyed	Console Hours	Productivity (images per console hour)	Productivity Adjusted for Overhead
APPS	649,316,534	752,916	862	740
Flats	71,299,066	78,933	903	775
Letters	115,104,833	108,923	1,057	906
COA	25,763,079	173,118	149	128
PARS	545,865,752	494,143	1,105	947
Total	1,407,349,264	1,608,034	875	751

Source: USPS-FY19-23, RECprods2019.xlsx

#### III. PROGRAM DOCUMENTATION

## A. Mail Processing Plant Productivities

Program: **modsprod\_FY19.do** – Stata program that computes plant productivity statistics reported in YRscrub2019.xlsx.

First, the MODS data are merged with datasets indicating assignments of 3-digit MODS operations to operation groups, and identifying the MODS facilities and NDCs whose data are used in the productivity calculations. TACS default operations are screened prior to further aggregation.<sup>1</sup> The 3-digit operation-level data are summed (collapsed) to operation group. The TPF variable is replaced with TPH in cases where TPH is greater than TPF, which serves to transfer manual TPH into the TPF variable.<sup>2</sup> Subsequent calculations employ TPF for all operation groups.

The observation-level productivity (prod1) is calculated as the ratio of TPF to workhours by site, operation group, and month. Observations with zero workhours and/or TPF are eliminated by dropping observations with zero or missing values of prod1. The first and 99th percentiles of the productivity distributions for each operation group are computed, and observations in the top and bottom one percent tails of the productivity distributions are eliminated as outliers. Finally, the program computes group sums of TPF, TPH, and workhours over observations remaining after the screening steps. The productivity is the ratio of the sum of screened TPF to the sum of screened workhours. An Excel output file is created for subsequent importation into the YRscrub2016.xls Excel spreadsheet.

Productivities for groups 3, 20, 73, and 74 (REC productivities) are obtained from REC operating data reported in the WebROADS system, and thus are not reported in the spreadsheet; see Section C, below.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TACS designates certain 3-digit operation numbers as default operations. These operations accumulate workhours in operations where the designated activity may not actually be present, and the workhours assigned to the affected operations 'by default' tend to be large relative to the 'true' data. Prescreening reduces the potential for the default workhours to bias the affected productivities downward.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For manual operations, MODS reports zero TPF for all observations. Historically, TPH values greater than TPF were relatively rare anomalies in MODS data for automated operations. (Since TPH is defined as TPF less rejects, TPF should always be at least as great as TPH.) Automated entry of end-of-run data into MODS via WebEOR effectively eliminated these anomalies.

Input: **opmap19.xlsx** - Map of MODS operations to operation groups used in the productivity analysis, in Excel workbook **finlist19.xlsx** – Map of finance numbers to site IDs used in the productivity analysis **ndc\_fins19.dta** – Stata dataset with list of NDC and ASF

finance numbers (unchanged from FY2018)

**MODS\_MONTH\_FY19.csv** - Monthly FY 2019 MODS TPF, TPH, and workhour data by month, finance number, and operation, as comma-separated text file

Output: mods2019prod\_merged.dta — Stata dataset (by operation, month, and finance number) merging FY 2019 MODS data, operation-to-group, and finance number maps mods2019prod\_prescreeen.dta — Stata dataset containing FY 2019 MODS data by site ID, month and operation prior to screening, for operations included in the productivity groups mods2019prod\_prescreeen.xlsx — Excel version of the Stata dataset of the same name

**MODSprod2019.xlsx** – Excel workbook with FY2019 screened TPH, TPF, hours, productivity (TPF/hour) and TPH/TPF ratios, by operation group; YRscrub2017.xlsx reformats these data.

**MODSprod2019\_addl\_stats.xlsx** – Excel workbook with additional summary statistics for unscreened FY2019 data; see Docket No. ACR2015, response to ChIR No. 7, Question 21(a).

### **B. NDC Productivities**

Program:

**ndcprod\_FY19.do** – Stata program that computes productivity statistics, reported in NDCscrub2019.xlsx, for the following operation groups: PPSM, SPSM, SSM, NMO/Manual Parcels, and Outgoing Pouching.

The data processing procedures for the NDC operation groups are substantially identical to those described above for program modsprod\_FY19.do.

Input: mods2019prod\_merged.dta - Stata dataset of MODS data, produced in modsprod FY19.do (see above)

Output: ndc2019prod\_prescreen.dta - Stata dataset containing FY 2019 data by site ID, month and operation group prior to screening

ndc2019prod\_prescreen.xlsx - Excel version of the Stata
dataset of the same name

**NDCprod2019.xlsx** - Excel workbook with FY2019 screened TPH, TPF, hours, productivity (TPF/hour) and TPH/TPF ratios, by operation group; NDCscrub2016.xlsx reformats these data.

**NDCprod2019\_addl\_stats.xlsx** – Excel workbook with additional summary statistics for unscreened FY2019 data; see Docket No. ACR2015, response to ChIR No. 7, Question 21(a).

### C. REC Productivities

Spreadsheet: **RECprods2019.xls** – Excel spreadsheet containing Remote Encoding Center (REC) productivities for APPS, Flat, Letter, COA, and PARS images for FY2019. Productivities calculated from WebROADS images and console hours are adjusted for overhead (e.g., on-the-clock breaks) using MODS hours.